Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/593,969	MAGNONE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANDREW LAI	2473	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>			
(1) ANDREW LAI.	(3)		
(2) David Longo (Applicant's Representative).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 30 March 2011	Time: ~16:30		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 40			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(A _j	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if ap	ppropriate)

Application No. 10593969

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed and explained to Mr. Longo about a withdrawal of issue of allowance of this case, which was previously allowed on 1/27/2011, because claim 40 should have been 112 2nd rejected due to lack of antecedent basis. Examiner also proposed an Examiner's Amendment to clear said 112 2nd issue to put the case in condition for allowance. Mr. Longo accepted the explanation and authorized the proposed Examiner's Amendment, rendering the case allowable.